

Appendix AA. Assessment Criteria for Development

Version 1.2

2002/05/11 11:23:25

Such work will usually consist of the following:

- a piece of development which may involve coding to a specification which is part of the instructions; using a piece of software to design or develop a piece of work; using equipment provided by the department; or some other activity which constitutes a significant part of the overall task.
- a body of documentation, the format and content of which is usually outlined in the description of the task, and which usually describes, clarifies, extends or in some other way augments the piece of development described above.

1st: 80-100%. An outstanding body of work demonstrating a very deep understanding of the problem and presented as such. Written components will be professionally presented in both layout on the page and logical structure. They will also be excellently presented in an appropriate style and will be grammatically of an extremely high standard. Demonstrates an excellent understanding of the technologies employed and uses appropriate terminology accurately. Implementation components will be extremely well finished and will more than completely fulfil the functional requirements.

1st: 70-79%. An excellent body of work demonstrating a deep understanding of the problem and presented as such. Written components will be professionally presented in both layout on the page and logical structure. They will also be very well presented in an appropriate style and will be grammatically of a very high standard. Demonstrates an excellent understanding of the technologies employed and uses appropriate terminology accurately. Implementation components will be very well finished and will at least completely fulfil the functional requirements.

2(i): 60-69%. A good body of work demonstrating a good understanding of the problem and presented as such. Written components will be well presented in both layout on the page and logical structure. They will also be presented in an appropriate style and will be of a good grammatical standard. Demonstrates good understanding of the technologies employed and a good grasp of the terminology appropriate. Implementation components will be complete and will usually fulfil the functional requirements in all aspects.

2(ii): 50-59%. A body of work which shows understanding of the problem in most aspects. Written components will demonstrate those areas which are well understood and those areas which are not so well understood. The documents will be structured in a reasonable way which allows them to be easily read, but may be lacking in structure, clarity and grammatical quality. Displays an adequate level of understanding of technologies used and mostly uses terminology appropriately. Implementation components will probably be incomplete in some relatively minor aspects, and may omit some of the more advanced pieces of work.

3rd: 40-49%. A body of work which shows some understanding of the problem. Written components will show what progress has been made, and make some attempt to show which areas have not been understood. Documents may show a lack of structure, comprehensibility, clarity and grammatical quality. Documents may also be incomplete in coverage of the work undertaken. Probably fails to show understanding of the technologies used and often fails to use appropriate terminology. Implementation is likely to be incomplete and may omit some aspects of the core problem. No adequate attempt to tackle more advanced sections of the work.

Fail: 30-39%. A body of work which fails to show proper understanding of the problem or which demonstrates an inappropriate, inadequate or incomplete response. Written components will typically fail to accurately or completely describe the work done and will often contain little indication of which parts of the problem are understood and which are not. Documents often show a lack of structure, comprehensibility, clarity and grammatical quality. Failure to demonstrate understanding of the technologies used and lack of or inaccurate use of the terminology appropriate.

Implementation is probably drastically incomplete, severely misguided or severely hampered by inability to use the technologies required.

Fail: 20-29%. Some work which shows little or a seriously flawed understanding of the problem, and an inappropriate, inadequate or drastically incomplete response. Written components will be poor in terms of presentation and content. They will usually fail to describe the problem, the work done, or the level of understanding reached. They will also lack structure, clarity or comprehensibility and often be of a poor grammatical standard. The work will often show a failure to understand the technologies required to solve the problem and will not use the correct terminology. Implementation is likely to be absent, drastically incomplete, severely misguided or severely hampered by inability to use the technologies required.

Fail: 0-19%. Probably very little work on either implementation or documentation, or a body of work which is very severely flawed by lack of ability to use the required technologies and/or to present the small amount of work done. Implementation absent, barely commenced or very severely misguided.